## Establishing the Rate Law

- A typical rate law for the general reaction, $\mathrm{aA}+\mathrm{bB} \rightarrow \mathrm{gG}+\mathrm{hH}$ might be :
rate of reaction $=k[A]^{m}[B]^{n}$
in which [A] and [B] represent reactant molarities and the exponents $m$ and $n$ are generally small, positive integers. (but may be zero, fractional and/or negative in more complex cases).
- The rate law is established by analysing experimental data.
- Three methods will be considered:

1. initial rates
2. graphical methods
3. successive half-lives.

## 1) Method of Initial Rates

- at the start of an experiment $(\mathrm{t}=0)$, the initial concentration of each reagent is known - since you measured them.
- a tangent to the concentration-time curve at $\mathrm{t}=0$ will provide the initial rate of reaction
The simplest example is when one concentration is doubled while the others are held constant.
- measure initial rates for two different concentrations of every reactant keeping concentrations of other reactants constant
- compare relative rates and initial concentrations to find $m, n$ and $k$
- What's special about the initial rate?

Once the reaction starts it is very difficult to know what the concentrations are at any given time unless you already know the stoichiometry and the rate law. Further reactions of the products or reversibility can complicate matters at later stages of a reaction.

## TABLE 15.3 Kinetic Data for the Reaction: $2 \mathrm{HgCl}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}+2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$

| Experiment | $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\right], \mathbf{M}$ | $\left[\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right], \mathbf{M}$ | Initial rate, <br> $\mathbf{M}$ min $^{-1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\right]_{1}=0.105$ | $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]_{1}=0.15$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2 | $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\right]_{2}=0.105$ | $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}-\right]_{2}=0.30$ | $7.1 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 3 | $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\right]_{3}=0.052$ | $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]_{3}=0.30$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 4 | $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\right]=0.21$ | $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]=0.75$ | $?$ |

rate of reaction $=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\right]^{\mathrm{m}}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]^{\mathrm{n}}$

- consider experiments $2 \& 3$ where $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ is unchanged : rate doubles as $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\right]$ doubles, Thus the rate varies as the first power of $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\right] . \mathrm{m}=1$
- consider experiments $1 \& 2$ with Hg constant: rate quadruples as $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]$ doubles. Thus rate varies as the square of oxalate. $\mathrm{n}=2$. What is the expected rate for expt \# 4 ?

Mathematically, one solves the following equation involving the ratio of expts $2 \& 3$. This eliminates k which is unknown at this point.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{R}_{2}}{\mathrm{R}_{3}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}(0.105)^{\mathrm{m}}(0.3)^{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{k}(0.052)^{\mathrm{m}}(0.3)^{\mathrm{n}}}=\left(\frac{0.105}{0.052}\right)^{\mathrm{m}}=2.0^{\mathrm{m}} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{R}_{2}}{\mathrm{R}_{3}}=\frac{7.1 \times 10^{-5}}{3.5 \times 10^{-5}}=2.0 \\
& 2=2^{\mathrm{m}}, \text { therefore } \mathbf{m}=\mathbf{1} \quad\{\log (2)=\mathrm{m} \log (2)\} \\
& \text { in general : rate ratio }=(\text { conc. ratio })^{\mathrm{m}} \text { is solved by taking logs } \\
& \quad \log (\text { rate ratio })=\mathrm{m} \log (\text { conc. ratio })
\end{aligned}
$$

- and from experiments $1 \& 2$
$\left[\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\right]$ remains constant while $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]$ doubles
From the rate law

$$
\frac{\mathrm{R}_{2}}{\mathrm{R}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}(0.105)(0.30)^{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{k}(0.105)(0.15)^{\mathrm{n}}}=2.0^{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{7.1 \times 10^{-5}}{1.8 \times 10^{-5}}=3.94
$$

$2^{\mathrm{n}} \approx 4, \mathrm{n}=2$ (by inspection)
or, take logs of both sides of the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{n} \log (2.0)=\log (3.94) \\
& \mathrm{n}(0.30)=0.595, \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1 . 9 9} \approx \mathbf{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- More Generally : for rate $=[A]^{m}[B]^{n}[C]^{0}$
$\log [\operatorname{rate}(1) / \operatorname{rate}(2)]=\mathrm{m} \log \left[\mathrm{A}_{1} / \mathrm{A}_{2}\right]+\mathrm{n} \log \left[\mathrm{B}_{1} / \mathrm{B}_{2}\right]+\mathrm{o} \log \left[\mathrm{C}_{1} / \mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$ you need at least 4 rates to solve for the 3 unknowns m,n, and o.


## Determination of " $k$ "

- The rate law thus is

$$
\text { rate of reaction }=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]^{2}
$$

- to determine the rate constant, k , use data from any one of the experiments (or better take an average of several).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{k}= \text { initial rate } /\left\{\left[\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]^{2}\right\} \\
& \text { expt 1. } \mathrm{k}=1.8 \times 10^{-5} /\left\{(0.1050)(.15)^{2}\right\} \\
& \mathrm{k}=\mathbf{7 . 6} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{1 0}^{-3} \mathbf{M}^{-\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{m i n}^{-1} \\
& \text { rate of reaction }=\mathbf{7 . 6} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{3}}\left[\mathbf{H g C l}_{\mathbf{2}}\right]\left[\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{4}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 -}}\right]^{\mathbf{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

rate of reaction in $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ )
note : RXN rate $=-1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}[\mathrm{Hg}] / \mathrm{dt}=-\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{ox}] / \mathrm{dt}=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{Hg}][\mathrm{ox}]^{2}$ so the rate constant for loss of Hg would be 2 k !

## What gives?

The reaction is: $\quad 2 \mathrm{HgCl}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}+2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
but the rate law is : $\quad$ rate $=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]^{2}$
Clearly the kinetic orders are totally unrelated to the stoichiometry!!!
Why? The mechanism of the reaction provides the explanation, a subject we will address in more detail later.
fast step $\quad \mathrm{Hg}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]^{2-}$ slow step $\quad\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]^{2-} \rightarrow \rightarrow$ products
2 oxalates and $1 \mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$ come together forming a dioxalatomercurate (II) ion before the rate determining step. This explains the rate law.

Factoids: $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is calomel, an insoluble white solid used in calomine lotion for treating poison ivy and in the "standard calomel electrode".

Oxalate is the CB of oxalic acid, a toxic substance found in rhubarb.

## 2. Method of Integrated Rate Laws

For the general reaction $\mathbf{a A} \rightarrow$ products we can write

$$
\text { rate of reaction }=-\frac{1}{a} \frac{d[A]}{d t}=k[A]^{n}
$$

" $\mathbf{n}$ " is the order of the reaction wrt the reactant " A "

- the integration of this expression for different values of " $n$ " gives the "integrated rate laws"
Integrated rate laws give concentrations vs time.
Rate laws give rate vs concentrations
Note: Your text, like many, do not include the stoichiometric "a" factor. It is a common source of trivial mistakes. Be careful as often the "rate of what" is not explictly stated.


## Zero Order Reactions: $\mathrm{n}=0$

## rate of reaction $=k[A]^{0}=k=$ constant

$$
-\frac{1}{\mathrm{a}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}[\mathrm{~A}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=\mathrm{k} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d}[\mathrm{~A}]=-\mathrm{akdt} \quad \rightarrow \quad[\mathrm{~A}]=[\mathrm{A}]_{0}-\mathrm{akt}
$$


zero order is a trivial case rarely seen in chemistry. If you give me $\$ 1$ every minute your money obeys zero order kinetics. $\mathrm{k}=\$ 1$ per minute and $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{kt}$.
concentration vs time graph is linear with slope $=-\mathrm{ak}$
$\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\left[\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{o}}\right] / 2 \mathrm{ak}$ it gets shorter as $\left[\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{o}}\right]$ decreases. see $\$ /$ minute example.

## First Order Reaction

## $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}$

## $[\mathrm{A}]=[\mathrm{A}]_{0} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{akt}}$ (exponential decay)

rate of reaction $=-\frac{1}{a} \frac{d[A]}{d t}=k[A]$

$$
\ln \frac{[\mathrm{A}]_{0}}{[\mathrm{~A}]}=\mathbf{a k t}
$$

For a first order reaction a plot of $\ln [A]$ vs $t$ is linear with slope $=\mathrm{ak}$

Does it matter that $\ln \left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ is plotted. Not $\left.\ln \left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]_{0} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ ? How would it differ?


| Examples of First Order Processes | half life | k in second |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| radioactive decay of ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U}$ | $10^{9} \mathrm{yrs}$ | $4.87 \times 10^{-18}$ |
| ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ | $10^{3} \mathrm{yrs}$ | $3.8 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$ | 28 yrs |  |
| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ | 12.26 yrs |  |
| ${ }^{126} \mathrm{I}$ | 13 days |  |
| sucrose $+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow$ glucose + fructose | 8.4 hr | $2.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4} / 45 \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 18.6 min | $6.2 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}{ }_{(\mathrm{aq})}$ | $8.9 \times 10^{-7}$ | $7.8 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $\mathrm{MbO}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mb}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 0.07 s | 10 |
| $\mathrm{Mb} \mathrm{CO} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mb}+\mathrm{CO}$ | 35 s | 0.02 |

? In nuclear fallout, is radioactive iodine, strontium or uranium a bigger problem?

- Compound interest. $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}(1+\mathrm{RdT})^{t / d t}$ gives the principal after time $t$ compounded every dt at interest rate R . The limit as $\mathrm{dt} \rightarrow 0$ becomes $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{e}^{+\mathrm{Rt}}$
- The growth phase for bacteria is exponential but then levels off as nutrients are depleted. \{limits to growth \}

$$
\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{e}^{+\mathrm{Gt}}
$$

- First order kinetics derives from cases where the probability of growth or decay is proportional to the time interval $\mathrm{dT} . \quad \mathrm{dN} / \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{k} d T$.
? Consider the consequences of 10 deaths/year vs a $10 \%$ death rate in a sterile population of 100 after say 10 years. Ans. zero vs $100 / \mathrm{e}=37$ survivors.


## Second Order Reactions: $\mathrm{n}=2$

 rate of reaction $=-\frac{1}{a} \frac{d[A]}{d t}=k[A]^{2}$Integrated rate law : $[\mathrm{A}]=\frac{[\mathrm{A}]_{0}}{[\mathrm{~A}]_{0} \mathrm{akt}+1}$



## 3. Method of Successive half lives.



Fig. 15-5. DTBP decay in gas phase.
half life $=80 \mathrm{~min}$, independent of P .
$1{ }^{\text {st }}$ ORDER !!!
rate $=k\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{DTBP}}\right]$
$\mathrm{k}=.693 / 80=$ $0.0086 \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$
? how long would it take half of DTBP to decay if $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}$ were 1 torr ?

## Summary of Rate Laws

|  | zero order | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ order | $2^{\text {nd }}$ order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Linear Plot | [A] vs t | $\ln [\mathrm{A}]$ vst | 1/[A] vs t |
| slope | $\mathrm{k} \mathrm{Ms}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{k} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{k} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| Half life | [ $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ]/2k | .693/k | 1/(k[ $\left.\mathrm{A}_{0}\right]$ ) |
| 1 st | $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}$ | $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}$ | $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}$ |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $1 / 2 \mathrm{t}_{\text {st }}$ | $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}$ | $2 \mathrm{t}_{\text {st }}$ |
| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | $1 / 4 \mathrm{t}_{\text {sts }}$ | $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}$ | $4 \mathrm{t}_{\text {lst }}$ |

* For second order if we hit $\left[\mathrm{A}_{0}\right] / 2$ at say $\mathrm{t}=10$ minutes, then $\left[\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{o}}\right] / 4$ at $\mathrm{t}=30$ minutes and $\left[\mathrm{A}_{0}\right] / 8$ at $\mathrm{t}=70$ minutes. For $87.5 \%$ completion $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{o}} / 8\right.$ left) it would take 1.75 $t_{1 / 2}$ for zero order, 3 half lives for first order and 7 for $2^{\text {nd }}$ order.


## Summary of Methods

Getting a rate

- Slope of [A] vs $t$
- $\Delta[\mathrm{A}] / \Delta \mathrm{t}$ over a small range
- Plug conc and $k$ into rate law where known

Deducing a rate law

- Initial rate data at various concentrations
- Linear plots of $\ln \mathrm{A}$ or $1 / \mathrm{A}$ vs time
- Trial and error to find one which gives constant k .
- Pseudo-first order data


## Simplifying more complex rate laws.

1. Pseudo-first Order Conditions - It is convenient to have only one species changing with time. This is accomplished by using a large excess of all other reactants.

$$
\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{AB} \quad \text { rate }=\mathrm{k}_{2}[\mathrm{~A}][\mathrm{B}]
$$

initial $\left[\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{o}}\right]=0.1 \mathrm{M}$ and $\left[\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{o}}\right]=.001 \mathrm{M}$
final $\left[\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{f}}\right]=0.099 \mathrm{M}$ and $\left[\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{f}}\right]=0 \mathrm{M} \quad[\mathrm{A}]$ hardly changes at all !
$-\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{B}] / \mathrm{dt}=\mathrm{k}_{2}[\mathrm{~A}][\mathrm{B}]$ and thus $\int \mathrm{d}[\mathrm{B}] /[\mathrm{B}]=\int \mathrm{k}_{2}[\mathrm{~A}] \mathrm{dt}$ since $A$ is independent of $t$, we can integrate to give $\ln \left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{B}\right)=\mathrm{k}_{2}[\mathrm{Ao}] \mathrm{t}=$ kobs t a pseudo-first order rate law.
kobs $=\mathrm{k}_{2}\left[\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{o}}\right]$ is the pseudo-first order constant and $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=.693 / \mathrm{k}_{2}\left[\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{o}}\right]$
Typically one carries out a series of experiments varying $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{o}}$ while keeping [A] >> [B]. A plot of kobs vs [A] has a slope $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ and is linear if first order in [A]. If second order you would plot kobs vs $[\mathrm{A}]^{2}$ etc.
A big advantage of first order in B is that you don't need to know $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{o}}$ to get k . You could start measurements whenever you like after mixing and still obtain k . Not true for $2^{\text {nd }}$ order.

Pseudo-first order conditions for the reaction :

$$
2 \mathrm{HgCl}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}+2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}
$$

$$
\text { rate }=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]^{2} \quad \mathrm{k}=7.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}^{-2} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}
$$

A) conditions : $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\right]=0.001 \mathrm{M} \quad\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]=0.1 \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{Hg}] / \mathrm{dt}=2 \mathrm{k}[\mathrm{Hg}][\mathrm{ox}]^{2}=.0152[\mathrm{Hg}][0.1]^{2}$
$\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{Hg}] /[\mathrm{Hg}]=0.000152 \mathrm{dt} \quad$ reduces to simple $1^{\text {st }}$ order kinetics

$$
[\mathrm{Hg}]=[\mathrm{Hg}]_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{e}^{-0.000152 \mathrm{t}} \text { and } \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=.693 / 0.000152=4560 \mathrm{~min}
$$

B) conditions: $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\right]=0.001 \mathrm{M} \quad\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]=1.0 \mathrm{M}$

$$
\text { kobs }=0.0152[1]^{2} \mathrm{~min}^{-1} \text { and } \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=45.6 \mathrm{~min}
$$

* in each case a plot of $\ln [\mathrm{Hg}]$ vs time would be linear with slope kobs where kobs $=0.0152[\mathrm{ox}]^{2} \mathrm{~min}^{-1} \quad$ units $\left(\mathrm{M}^{-2} \mathrm{~min}^{-1} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{M}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{min}^{-1}$

Pseudo-first order conditions can be applied to simplify even the most complex rate laws.
$\mathrm{BrO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+5 \mathrm{Br}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+6 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{l})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$

$$
\text { Rate }=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{BrO}_{3}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{Br}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}
$$

if $\left[\mathrm{Br}^{-}\right]_{0}=0.3 \mathrm{M}, \&\left[\mathrm{BrO}_{3}^{-}\right]_{0}=0.0001 \mathrm{M}$, and the reaction is run in a $\mathrm{pH}=2$ buffer, then the reaction rate reduces to a first order decay of bromate ion:
Rate $=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{BrO}_{3}-\right][0.3][.01]^{2}=\left(3 \times 10^{-5}\right) \mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{BrO}_{3}^{-}\right]$
Rate $=$ kobs $\left[\mathrm{BrO}_{3}^{-}\right]$where kobs $=\left(3 \times 10^{-5}\right) \mathrm{k}$

* note units of $\mathrm{k}^{\text {are }} \mathrm{M}^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ while those of kobs are $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ plot $\ln \left[\mathrm{BrO}_{3}^{-}\right]$vs time to get kobs.
For several expts all at $[\mathrm{Br}]=0.3 \mathrm{M}$ and $\left[\mathrm{BrO}_{3}^{-}\right]=0.0001 \mathrm{M}$ but in different pH buffers, a plot of kobs vs $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}$ would be linear with slope $=0.3 \mathrm{k}$.
OR you could do 4 expts at $\mathrm{pH}=2$ and $\left[\mathrm{BrO}_{3}^{-}\right]=0.0001 \mathrm{M}$ with $\left[\mathrm{Br}^{-}\right]$ of $0.1,0.2,0.3$, and 0.4 M . A plot of kobs vs [ $\mathrm{Br}^{[ }$] would be $\qquad$ ??

14-89. The rate of the reaction $2 \mathrm{CO}_{(\mathrm{g})} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{CO}_{2(\mathrm{~g})}$ was studied by injecting CO gas into a vessel and monitoring the total pressure at constant volume.

## Data below in black. Solution in blue.

- note that 2 gas molecules become one. Thus the pressure will drop in half on completion. let $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{co} 2}$ produced $\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{co}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{co} 2}=(250-2 \mathrm{x})+\mathrm{x}=250-\mathrm{x}$. we need to extract the reactant pressure, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{co}}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {tot }}-2 \mathrm{x}$ Then plot $\ln \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{co}}$ if $1^{\text {st }}$ order or $1 / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{co}}$ if $2^{\text {nd }}$ order.

| time (s) | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{TOT}}$ (torr) | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO} 2}$ | Plot $1 / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{co}}$ vs tis linear with <br> slope $=-\mathrm{ak}=-1.05 \times 10^{-6}$ torr $^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-}$ |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 0 | 250 | 250 | 0 | 1 so $\quad \mathrm{k}=5 \times 10^{-7}$ torr $^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |

14-90 The kinetics of phosphine decomposition at 950 K was followed in a closed flask by monitoring the total pressure. Find the rate constant.

$$
\begin{gathered}
4 \mathrm{PH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \\
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}-4 \mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x} \quad 6 \mathrm{x} \\
\mathrm{P}_{\text {tot }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}-4 \mathrm{x}+7 \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}+3 \mathrm{x} \quad \text { so } \mathrm{x}=\left(\mathrm{P}_{\text {tot }}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}\right) / 3
\end{gathered}
$$

Table of Data (torr) and calcd (blue)

| $\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{s})$ | P tot | x | $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | Plot $\ln \left[\mathrm{PH}_{3}\right]$ vs t is |
| 40 | 150 | $50 / 3$ | 33.3 | 16.7 | 100 | linear. |
| 80 | 167 | $67 / 3$ | 10.7 | 22.3 | 134 | slope $=0.0275 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}=4 \mathrm{k}$ |
| 120 | 172 | $72 / 3$ | 4 | 24 | 144 | $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=25.2 \mathrm{~s}=.693 / 4 \mathrm{k}$ |
| inf | 175 | $75 / 3$ | 0 | 25 | 150 | $=1 / 4 \mathrm{~d}\left[\mathrm{PH}_{3}\right] / \mathrm{dt}$ |

RQ 13. In the first order reaction $A \rightarrow P$, initial [A] = 0.816 M and after $16.0 \mathrm{~min}[\mathrm{~A}]=0.632 \mathrm{M}$.
a) What is the rate constant?

Plug into integrated rate expression $A=A_{o} e^{-k t}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln A / A o=-k t \\
& \ln (.632 / .816)=-.255=-\mathrm{k}(16 \mathrm{~min}) \\
& \quad \mathrm{k}=1.59 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

b) What is the half life? $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=0.693 / 0.0159=43.6 \mathrm{~min}$
c) When will $[\mathrm{A}]=0.235$ ?

$$
\ln (.235 / .816)=-1.24=-0.0159 t \quad t=78 \mathrm{~min} .
$$

Radiocarbon dating. Ex 25-4
${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ forms in the upper atmosphere via cosmic radiation. Living things take up this isotope as $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Once dead the level of ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ drops through radioactive decay $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=5730$ years.
If living things have 15 disintegrations/minute/gram of carbon, how old is a mummy with an activity of $8.5 \mathrm{dis} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{g}$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{k}=0.693 / 5730=1.21 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{yr}^{-1} \\
& \ln \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{No}=-\mathrm{kt}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\ln 8.5 / 15=-0.567=-\mathrm{kt} \quad \mathrm{t}=.567 / 1.21 \times 10^{-4}
$$

$$
\mathrm{t}=4686 \text { years. }
$$

## Enzymes as Catalysts

- the most impressive examples of homogeneous catalysis occur in nature where complex reactions are made possible by enzymes
- catalytic action of enzymes is extremely specific
- enzyme activity often described by a lock-and key model; only a reacting substance, the substrate (the key), that fits into an active site on the enzyme (the lock), will undergo a reaction

(a)


Enzyme-substrate complex
(b)

(c)
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