

①

Another look at: if P , then q

From example: if it is raining, the street is wet
 we reasoned that the negation: $\neg(P \rightarrow q) = P \wedge \neg q$

that is: "P and not q"

Thus:

$$P \rightarrow q \equiv \neg(\neg(P \rightarrow q)) \equiv \neg(P \wedge \neg q) \equiv \neg P \vee q$$

(by deMorgan
laws)

We also know that for 2 propositions joined by \vee
 the compound statement is true when either
 is true - and false when both propositions
 false

Thus: $P \rightarrow q \equiv \neg P \vee q$ is true whenever
 $\neg P$ is true

or equivalently when
 P is false

Thus the last 2 lines
 of truth table for $P \rightarrow q$

P	q	$P \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

Show using truth tables that

$$P \rightarrow q \equiv \underbrace{\neg q \rightarrow \neg P}_{\text{contra positive}}$$

(2)

P	q	$\neg P$	$\neg q$	$P \rightarrow q$	$q \rightarrow P$	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg P$	$\neg P \rightarrow \neg q$
T	T	F	F	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	T	F	T	F
F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T

Similarly $q \rightarrow P \equiv \neg P \rightarrow \neg q$

converse

$$\neg(q \rightarrow P) \stackrel{\text{AND}}{=} \neg\neg P \rightarrow \neg q$$

$$\text{And } P \rightarrow q \not\equiv q \rightarrow P$$

$$\equiv \neg P \rightarrow \neg q$$

Not always so easy to distinguish in everyday language.

Equivalent propositions

Two propositions P and q are equivalent

$P \equiv q$ means P and q have same truth values

Exercise: Given $P \rightarrow q$ and $\neg q \rightarrow \neg P$

construct truth table for

$$(1) (P \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow \neg P)$$

$$(2) (\neg q \rightarrow \neg P) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow q)$$

(3)

Quantifiers

There are expressions that with input become propositions / statements

Example: $x^2 - 5x + 6 = 0$

Input can be thought of as a variable –
when variable specified with precision,
expression becomes a statement that
is either true or false.

To specify variable: (1) the set of objects
from which variable is taken is specified

(2) it is determined that

- ① All elements of set are to be considered OR
- ② If only some are to be considered.

If we consider "all", use symbol \forall = "for every"

: "some", use symbol \exists = "there exists"

Thus with example – let set specified be \mathbb{R}
Set of real numbers:

\in = "in"

(1) $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, x^2 - 5x + 6 = 0 \equiv$ for every real number
 $x^2 - 5x + 6 = 0$

(2) $\exists x \in \mathbb{R} : x^2 - 5x + 6 = 0 \equiv$ there exists $x \in \mathbb{R}$
such that $x^2 - 5x + 6 = 0$

(4)

Negations of statements involving quantifiers

Example

(1) { all roses in garden are red

↙ ∃ rose r in garden : r is red

• how is a rose in garden that is not

(2) {
 } ∃ rose r in garden. such that r is red

Negation of (1) ?

$\neg (\forall \text{ rose } r \text{ in garden}, r \text{ is red})$

$\equiv \exists \text{ rose } r \text{ in garden} : r \text{ is NOT red}$

Negation of (2) ?

$\neg (\exists \text{ rose } r \text{ in garden} : r \text{ is red})$

$\equiv \forall \text{ rose } r \text{ in garden}, r \text{ is NOT red}$

Moral of story ?

Nested quantifiers ?

Definition continuity of function at a point