

UNIVERSAL FUNCTIONS, STRONG COLOURING AND PID

Juris Steprāns (joint work with Menachem Kojman and Assaf Rinot)

York University

January 21, 2021



THEOREM (TODORCEVIC)

There is a colouring $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega_1$ with the property that the image of c on $[A]^2$ is all of ω_1 for all uncountable $A \subseteq \omega_1$. The existence of such a colouring is denoted by $\aleph_1 \rightarrow [\aleph_1]_{\aleph_1}^2$.

This improved earlier results of Sierpiński, that $\aleph_1 \rightarrow (\aleph_1)_2^2$ and Galvin and Shelah, that $\aleph_1 \rightarrow [\aleph_1]_4^2$. If the range is understood, then such colourings are called *strong*.

QUESTION

How can $\aleph_1 \rightarrow [\aleph_1]_{\aleph_1}^2$ be strengthened?

One answer is provided replacing the uncountable A by another structure

THEOREM (MOORE)

There is a colouring $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega_1$ with the property that the image of c on $A \circledast B = \omega_1$ for all uncountable A and B where $A \circledast B$ stands for the rectangle $\{(\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B \mid \alpha < \beta\}$.

A related, but somewhat different question is the following:

QUESTION (ERDÖS-GALVIN-HAJNAL)

Given $G \subseteq [\omega_1]^2$ with uncountable chromatic number, is there $c : G \rightarrow \omega_1$ such that for all $w : \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega$ there is $n \in \omega$ such that the image of c on $G \cap [w^{-1}\{n\}]^2$ is all of ω_1 ?

DEFINITION

Let $p : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$. Define $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow_p [\aleph_1]_{\kappa}^2$ to mean that there is some $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \kappa$ such that for each uncountable $X \subseteq \omega_1$ there is $n \in \omega$ such that the image of c on $p^{-1}\{n\} \cap [X]^2$ is all of κ .

- If p is constant then Todorćević's colouring shows that $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow_p [\aleph_1]_{\kappa}^2$.
- In in **Chen, Kojman, S.** partitions with smaller range are considered, but this talk will not look at that case.

- It is shown in **Chen, Kojman, S.** and later in **Kojman, Rinot, S.** that it is consistent with various versions of set theory that $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow_p [\aleph_1]_{\aleph_1}^2$ holds. For example, CH implies that $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow_p [\aleph_1]_{\aleph_1}^2$ for any partition $p : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$.
- After adding \aleph_2 Cohen reals it is shown in [CKS] even stronger versions hold for partitions. For every partition $p : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ there is a colouring $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega_1$ such that for any infinite $A \subseteq \omega_1$ and uncountable $B \subseteq \omega_1$ there is $\alpha \in A$ and $n \in \omega$ such that for all $\gamma \in \omega_1$ there is $\beta \in B$ such that $c(\alpha, \beta) = \omega_1$ and $p(\alpha, \beta) = n$.
- The instance of this without a partition was shown by Todorcevic to be equivalent to a result of Sierpiński, who showed that, assuming CH, there are countably many functions $f_n : \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega_1$ such that for every uncountable $B \subseteq \omega_1$ there is some n such that $f_n(B) = \omega_1$.

SOME STATEMENTS UNDER CH

- 1 There is a Luzin set.
- 2 There is a non-meagre set of size \aleph_1 .
- 3 There is a sequence $\langle f_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$ of functions from ω_1 to ω_1 such that, for every uncountable $I \subseteq \omega_1$, for all but finitely many $n < \omega$, $f_n[I] = \omega_1$.
- 4 There is a colouring $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega_1$ such that, for all infinite $A \subseteq \omega_1$ and uncountable $B \subseteq \omega_1$, there exists $\alpha \in A$ such that $c[\{\alpha\} \times B] = \omega_1$;
- 5 There is a colouring $d : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega_1$ such that, for all infinite pairwise disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\omega_1]^{<\aleph_0}$ and uncountable pairwise disjoint family $\mathcal{B} \subseteq [\omega_1]^{<\aleph_0}$, there exists $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that, for every $\delta < \omega_1$, for some $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $d[a \times b] = \{\delta\}$.

- That CH implies (1) was shown by Mahlo and independently by Luzin.
- That CH implies (3) was shown by Sierpiński.
- That CH implies (4) was shown by Erdős, Hajnal and Milner.
- That CH implies (5) is due to Galvin.
- (1) implies (2) is clear, but the reverse is false.
- (5) implies (4) implies (3) are easy.
- Todorčević showed that (1) implies (3) implies (4).
- Recently Miller showed that (2) implies (3).
- Even more recently, Guzman showed (3) implies (2).

To these equivalences Kojman and Rinot added some others, including the following:

- For every ℓ_∞ -coherent partition $p : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$, there exists $d : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega_1$ satisfying that given
 - an infinite pairwise disjoint subfamily $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\omega_1]^k$ with $k < \omega$,
 - an uncountable subfamily $\mathcal{B} \subseteq [\omega_1]^l$ with $l < \omega$, such that there exists $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that for every matrix $\langle \tau_{n,m} \mid n < k, m < l \rangle$ of functions from ω to ω_1 , there exists $b \in \mathcal{B}$ such that for all $n < k$ and $m < l$

$$d(a(n), b(m)) = \tau_{n,m}(p(a(n), b(m)))$$

DEFINITION

For a partition $p : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$:

- p has injective fibres if $p(\alpha, \beta) \neq p(\alpha', \beta)$ for all $\alpha < \alpha' < \beta$
- p has finite-to-one fibres if $\{\alpha < \beta \mid p(\alpha, \beta) = \delta\}$ is finite for all $\beta < \kappa$ and $\delta < \mu$
- p has almost-disjoint fibres if

$$\{p(\alpha, \beta) \mid \alpha < \beta\} \cap \{p(\alpha, \beta') \mid \alpha < \beta\}$$

is finite for all $\beta < \beta' < \kappa$

- p has coherent fibres if $\{\alpha < \beta \mid p(\alpha, \beta) \neq p(\alpha, \beta')\}$ is finite for all $\beta < \beta' < \kappa$:
- p is ℓ_∞ -coherent if for every $(\beta, \beta') \in [\omega_1]^2$, the set of integers $\{p(\alpha, \beta) - p(\alpha, \beta') \mid \alpha < \beta\}$ is finite.

The $\rho_2 : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ is an example of an ℓ_∞ -coherent partition which does not have coherent fibres.

LEMMA

There exists a partition $p : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ with injective and almost-disjoint fibres.

PROPOSITION

For every partition $p : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ there exists a corresponding partition $\bar{p} : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ with injective fibres such that, if one of the relations . . . holds for \bar{p} , then it also holds for p .

QUESTION

Can the hypothesis that p is an ℓ_∞ -coherent partition be removed from the equivalence?

THEOREM

It is consistent with the existence of a Luzin set that there is a partition $p : [\aleph_1]^2 \rightarrow \aleph_0$ such that, for every colouring $c : [\aleph_1]^2 \rightarrow \aleph_0$, there is a decomposition $\aleph_1 = \biguplus_{i < \omega} X_i$ such that, for all $i, j < \omega$,

$c \upharpoonright \{(\alpha, \beta) \in [X_i]^2 \mid p(\alpha, \beta) = j\}$ is constant.

- This answers the question in a strong way.
- One might ask if the positive relation $\aleph_1 \rightarrow_p [\aleph_1]_{\aleph_0}^2$ can be weakened to ask for a colouring $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ such that for each uncountable $X \subseteq \omega_1$ there is $n \in \omega$ such that the image of c on $p^{-1}\{n\} \cap [X]^2$ is infinite, rather than all of ω .
- Even this weaker version fails. The following lemma describes the p for which it fails.

LEMMA

The following are equivalent:

- $\mathfrak{d} = \aleph_1$
- There exists a partition $p : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ with injective and almost-disjoint fibres such that for every function $h : \epsilon \rightarrow \omega$ with $\epsilon < \omega_1$, there exists $\gamma < \omega_1$, such that for every $b \in [\omega_1 \setminus \gamma]^{<\aleph_0}$, there exists $\Delta \in [\epsilon]^{<\aleph_0}$ such that:
 - for all $\alpha \in \epsilon \setminus \Delta$ and $\beta \in b$, $h(\alpha) < p(\alpha, \beta)$;
 - $p \upharpoonright ((\epsilon \setminus \Delta) \times b)$ is injective.

DEFINITION

Given a partition $p : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ a colouring $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ will be called p -special if there is a partition $W : \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega$ and a function $w : \omega \times \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $c(\alpha, \beta) = w(W(\alpha), p(\alpha, \beta))$ if $W(\alpha) = W(\beta)$.

THEOREM

Assuming $MA_{\aleph_1}(K)$, there exists a partition $p : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ such that all colourings $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ are p -special.

THEOREM

It is consistent that all of the following hold simultaneously:

- There exists Luzin set;
- There exists a coherent Souslin tree;
- There exists a partition $p : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ as in the previous slide such that all colourings $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ are p -special.

DEFINITION

$\mathbb{Q}(p, c)$ consists of all triples $q = (a_q, f_q, w_q)$ satisfying all of the following:

- 1 $a_q \in [\omega_1]^{<\aleph_0}$;
- 2 $f_q : a_q \rightarrow \omega$ is a function;
- 3 w_q is a function from a finite subset of $\omega \times \omega$ to ω ;
- 4 for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in [a_q]^2$, if $f_q(\alpha) = f_q(\beta)$, then $(f_q(\alpha), p(\alpha, \beta)) \in \text{domain}(w_q)$ and $c(\alpha, \beta) = w_q(f_q(\alpha), p(\alpha, \beta))$.

For $G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(p, c)$ let $X_{i,G} = \{\alpha < \omega_1 \mid \exists q \in G (f_q(\alpha) = i)\}$ and for all $i, j < \omega$ note that

$$\mathbb{1} \Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}(p,c)} "\{c(\alpha, \beta) \mid (\alpha, \beta) \in [X_{i,G}]^2 \text{ and } p(\alpha, \beta) = j\} \leq 1".$$

LEMMA

For every partition $p : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ with injective and almost-disjoint fibers, $\mathbb{Q}(p, c)$ has Property K

To prove this let $\{(a_\xi, f_\xi, w_\xi)\}_{\xi \in \omega_1}$ are given and assume that

- $w_\xi = w$ for all ξ
- $\{a_\xi\}_{\xi \in \omega_1}$ form a Δ -system (with empty root for simplicity)
- $a_\xi = \{a_\xi(j)\}_{j \in k}$ for all ξ
- there is $f : k \rightarrow \omega$ such that $f_\xi(a_\xi(j)) = f(j)$ for all ξ and j
- there is $p^* : k \times k \rightarrow \omega$ such that $p(a_\xi(j), a_\xi(i)) = p^*(j, i)$ for all ξ, i and j
- there is $w : k \times k \rightarrow \omega$ such that $w_\xi(f_\xi(a_\xi(j)), p(a_\xi(j), a_\xi(i))) = w(f(j), p^*(j, i))$ for all ξ, i and j .

Let \mathfrak{M}_ξ be a continuous, increasing chain of countable elementary submodels of $H(\aleph_2, \rho, \in)$ and let $\tau_\xi = \omega_1 \cap \mathfrak{M}_\xi$. For each ξ find $\rho(\xi) \in \tau_\xi$ such that:

- if $i < j < k$ and $\rho(\xi) < \alpha, \beta < \tau_\xi$ then $\rho(a_\xi(j), \alpha) \neq \rho(a_\xi(i), \beta)$
- if $j < k$ and $\rho(\xi) < \alpha < \tau_\xi$ then $\rho(a_\xi(j), \alpha) \notin \text{range}(f)$.

Let $\rho(\xi) = \rho$ for $\xi \in S$ with S stationary. It follows that $\{(a_{\tau_\xi}, f_{\tau_\xi}, w_{\tau_\xi})\}_{\xi \in S \setminus \rho}$ is linked.

Why? Because given $\rho < \xi < \eta$ in S the integers $\rho(a_{\tau_\xi}, a_{\tau_\eta})$ are all distinct and not in the range of f . Hence it is easy to extend f as needed.

The main goal now is to show that non-meagre sets are preserved by a finite support iteration. The following definition is needed for this.

DEFINITION

For all $q \in \mathbb{Q}(p, c)$, $k < \omega$ and $z \in [\omega_1]^{<\aleph_0}$, define $q^\wedge(k, z)$ to be the triple (a, f, w) satisfying:

- $a := a_q \cup z$;
- $f : a \rightarrow \omega$ is a function extending f_q and satisfying $f(\alpha) = k + |z \cap \alpha|$ for all $\alpha \in a \setminus a_q$;
- $w_q := w$.

Note that $q^\wedge(k, z)$ may not be in $\mathbb{Q}(p, c)$, but it will be, provided that $k \supseteq \text{range}(f_q)$.

COROLLARY

For every $\beta < \omega_1$, $D_\beta := \{q \in \mathbb{Q}(p, c) \mid \beta \in a_q\}$ is dense, so that

$$\mathbf{1} \Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}(p, c)} \left\| \bigcup_{i < \omega} X_{i, \dot{G}} \right\| = \omega_1.$$

DEFINITION

Let $p : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ be a partition. For any ordinal η , a finite-support iteration $\{\mathbb{Q}_\xi\}_{\xi \in \eta}$ will be called a p -iteration if \mathbb{Q}_0 is the trivial forcing, and, for each ordinal ξ with $\xi + 1 < \eta$ there is a \mathbb{Q}_ξ -name \dot{c}_ξ such that

- 1 $\mathbf{1} \Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}_\xi} \left\| \dot{c}_\xi : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega \text{ is a colouring} \right\|,$
- 2 $\mathbb{Q}_{\xi+1} = \mathbb{Q}_\xi * \mathbb{Q}(p, \dot{c}_\xi).$



DEFINITION

Define $q \in \mathbb{Q}_\xi$ to be determined by recursion in the usual way so that a condition $q \in \mathbb{Q}_{\xi+1}$ is determined if $q \restriction \xi \Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}_\xi} "q(\xi) = (a_{q,\xi}, f_{q,\xi}, w_{q,\xi})"$ for an actual triple of finite sets.

DEFINITION

For a determined condition q in the p -iteration, we say that k is sufficiently large for q iff $k \supseteq \mathbf{range}(f_{q,\xi})$ for all ξ in the support of q .

DEFINITION

For a condition q in the p -iteration, $k < \omega$ and $z \in [\omega_1]^{<\aleph_0}$, define $q^\wedge(k, z)$ by letting $q^\wedge(k, z)(\xi) := q(\xi)^\wedge(k, z)$ for each ξ in the support of q .

DEFINITION

A structure \mathfrak{M} is said to be good for the p -iteration $\{\mathbb{Q}_\xi\}_{\xi \in \eta}$ if there is a large enough regular cardinal $\kappa > \eta$ such that all of the following hold:

- \mathfrak{M} is a countable elementary submodel of $(\mathcal{H}_\kappa, \in, \triangleleft_\kappa)$, where \triangleleft_κ is a well-ordering of \mathcal{H}_κ ;
- $p, \{\mathbb{Q}_\xi\}_{\xi \in \eta}$ and $\{\overset{\circ}{c}_\xi \mid \xi + 1 < \eta\}$ are in \mathfrak{M} .

DEFINITION

For any structure \mathfrak{M} good for the p -iteration $\{\mathbb{Q}_\xi\}_{\xi \in \eta}$, for all $\xi \in \eta$ and a determined condition $q \in \mathbb{Q}_\xi$, we define $q^{\mathfrak{M}}$, as follows. The definition is by recursion on $\xi \in \eta$:

- For $\xi = 0$ there is nothing to do.
- For any ξ such that $q^{\mathfrak{M}}$ has been defined for all determined q in \mathbb{Q}_ξ , given a determined condition $q \in \mathbb{Q}_{\xi+1}$, we consider two cases:
 - If $\xi \in \mathfrak{M}$, then let $q^{\mathfrak{M}} := (q \upharpoonright \xi)^{\mathfrak{M}} * (a_{q,\xi} \cap \mathfrak{M}, f_{q,\xi} \cap \mathfrak{M}, w_{q,\xi})$
 - Otherwise, just let $q^{\mathfrak{M}} := (q \upharpoonright \xi)^{\mathfrak{M}} * (\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset)$.
- For any limit $\xi \in \eta$, since this is a finite-support iteration, there is nothing new to define.

- If q is determined, then, for every coordinate ξ in the support of q , $q^{\mathfrak{M}}(\xi)$ is a triple consisting of finite sets lying in \mathfrak{M} .
- It is important to note that $q^{\mathfrak{M}}$ may not, in general, be a condition because $q^{\mathfrak{M}} \upharpoonright \eta$ may fail to force that $q^{\mathfrak{M}}(\eta) \in \mathbb{Q}(p, \dot{c}_\eta)$.
- Nevertheless, $(q^{\mathfrak{M}})^\wedge(k, z)$ is a well-defined object, since its definition does not depend on the \dot{c}_ξ 's.

Recall the lemma stated earlier and now required for the next technical lemma.

LEMMA

If $\mathfrak{d} = \aleph_1$ then there is $p : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ that is injective with almost-disjoint fibres and such that for every function $h : \epsilon \rightarrow \omega$ with $\epsilon < \omega_1$, there exists $\gamma < \omega_1$, such that for every $b \in [\omega_1 \setminus \gamma]^{<\aleph_0}$, there exists $\Delta \in [\epsilon]^{<\aleph_0}$ such that:

- *for all $\alpha \in \epsilon \setminus \Delta$ and $\beta \in b$, $h(\alpha) < p(\alpha, \beta)$;*
- *$p \upharpoonright ((\epsilon \setminus \Delta) \times b)$ is injective.*

LEMMA

Suppose that p is as in the previous slide and \mathfrak{M} is a structure good for the p -iteration $\{\mathbb{Q}_\xi\}_{\xi \in \eta}$.

For all $\zeta \leq \sup(\eta)$ and a determined condition $r \in \mathbb{Q}_\zeta$, there is a finite set $\bar{z} \subseteq \mathfrak{M} \cap \omega_1$ such that:

A: For every $z \in [\mathfrak{M} \cap \omega_1]^{<\aleph_0}$ covering \bar{z} , and every integer k that is sufficiently large for r , $(r^{\mathfrak{M}})^\wedge(k, z)$ is in $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathbb{Q}_\zeta$ and is determined;

B: For every $z \in [\mathfrak{M} \cap \omega_1]^{<\aleph_0}$ covering \bar{z} , and every integer k that is sufficiently large for r , for the condition $\bar{r} := (r^{\mathfrak{M}})^\wedge(k, z)$ and a condition $q \in \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathbb{Q}_\zeta$, if the following three requirements hold:

- 1 $\mathfrak{M} \models q \leq \bar{r}$ and q is determined;
- 2 the mapping $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto p(\alpha, \beta)$ is injective over $(A_q \setminus A_{\bar{r}}) \times (A_r \setminus A_{\bar{r}})$;
- 3 $p(\alpha, \beta) > p(\alpha', \beta')$ for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in (A_q \setminus A_{\bar{r}}) \times (A_r \setminus A_{\bar{r}})$ and $(\alpha', \beta') \in [A_r]^2 \cup [A_q]^2$,

then $q \not\leq r$.



- Proceed by induction on $\zeta \leq \sup(\eta)$ proving **A** and **B** simultaneously.
- The case $\zeta = 0$ is immediate.
- The case $\zeta = 1$ is simple as well, but it may be instructive to consider it in detail since it gives some idea of the general proof.
- So c_0 is a colouring in the ground model and all conditions are determined.
- In this case if $r \in \mathbb{Q}_1$ then $r^{\mathfrak{M}}$ is a condition, as well.
- In general this is not the case and it is the reason **A** and **B** need to be carried along in the induction.
- It will be shown that $\bar{z} = \emptyset$ satisfies the conclusion.

- Let k be sufficiently large for r .
- We know that $(r^{\mathfrak{M}})^{\wedge}(k, z) \in \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathbb{Q}_1$ for any $z \in [\mathfrak{M} \cap \omega_1]^{<\aleph_0}$. Hence **A** is immediate.
- To see that **B** holds, suppose that we are given $z \in [\mathfrak{M} \cap \omega_1]^{<\aleph_0}$.
- Let $\bar{r} := (r^{\mathfrak{M}})^{\wedge}(k, z)$.
- We are also given a condition $q \in \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathbb{Q}_1$ satisfying requirements (1)–(3) above.

- To see that $q \not\leq r$, let $a := a_{q,0} \cup a_{r,0}$, $f := f_{q,0} \cup f_{r,0}$ and $w := w_{q,0} \cup w_{r,0}$.
- It is immediate to see that f and w are functions, $A_r = a_{r,0}$, $A_q = a_{q,0}$ and $A_q \cap A_r = A_{\bar{r}}$.
- We need to show that there exists a function w^* extending w for which (a, f, w^*) is a legitimate condition.
- For this, suppose that we are given $i, j < \omega$, $(\alpha, \beta), (\alpha', \beta') \in [a]^2$, with $f(\alpha) = f(\beta) = i = f(\alpha') = f(\beta')$ and $p(\alpha, \beta) = j = p(\alpha', \beta')$.
- It must be shown that $c_0(\alpha, \beta) = c_0(\alpha', \beta')$.

There are two cases to consider:

CASE I If $(\alpha, \beta), (\alpha', \beta') \in [A_q]^2 \cup [A_r]^2$, then since w extends $w_{q,0}$ and $w_{r,0}$,
 $c_0(\alpha, \beta) = w(i, j) = c_0(\alpha', \beta')$.

CASE II If $(\alpha, \beta) \in [a]^2 \setminus ([A_q]^2 \cup [A_r]^2)$, then since $A_q \cap A_r = A_{\bar{r}}$ and $\alpha < \beta$, we infer that $(\alpha, \beta) \in (A_q \setminus A_{\bar{r}}) \times (A_r \setminus A_{\bar{r}})$. So, by Clause (3), $(\alpha', \beta') \in [a]^2 \setminus ([A_q]^2 \cup [A_r]^2)$, as well. Then, likewise $(\alpha', \beta') \in (A_q \setminus A_{\bar{r}}) \times (A_r \setminus A_{\bar{r}})$. Altogether, by Clause (2), $(\alpha, \beta) = (\alpha', \beta')$. In particular, $c_0(\alpha, \beta) = c_0(\alpha', \beta')$.

LEMMA

Suppose:

- $p : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ is as in the previous lemma;
- $L = \{l_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \omega_1}$ is a Luzin subset of 2^ω ;
- $\{\mathbb{Q}_\xi\}_{\xi \in \eta}$ is a p -iteration with $\eta > 0$ a limit ordinal.

Then $\mathbb{1} \Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}_\eta}$ “ L is Luzin”.

Suppose not. Then it can be assumed that there is a \mathbb{Q}_η -name $\overset{\circ}{T}$ such that

- $\mathbb{1} \Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}_\eta}$ “ $\overset{\circ}{T} \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$ is a closed nowhere dense tree”, and
- $\mathbb{1} \Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}_\eta}$ “ $(\exists^{\aleph_1} \gamma) l_\gamma$ is a branch through $\overset{\circ}{T}$ ”.

- It follows that there is an uncountable subset $\Gamma \subseteq \omega_1$ such that for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there is a determined condition $r_\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}_\eta$ such that $r_\gamma \Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}_\eta}$ “ l_γ is a branch through $\overset{\circ}{T}$ ”.
- It may be assumed that there is a single $k < \omega$ which is sufficiently large for r_γ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.
- It may also be assumed that $\{A_{r_\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ forms a Δ -system with some root ρ .
- Let \mathfrak{M} be a structure good for the p -iteration $\{\mathbb{Q}_\xi\}_{\xi \in \eta}$, with $\rho, \overset{\circ}{T}, \mathbb{Q}_\eta \in \mathfrak{M}$.

- For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, let \bar{z}_γ be given by the previous lemma with respect to r_γ and \mathfrak{M} .
- Fix an uncountable $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$ and some $\bar{z} \in [\omega_1 \cap \mathfrak{M}]^{<\omega}$ such that $\bar{z}_\gamma = \bar{z}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma'$.
- By possibly shrinking further, we may assume the existence of q such that $(r_\gamma)^{\mathfrak{M}} = q$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma'$.
- In particular, for every $z \in [\mathfrak{M} \cap \omega_1]^{<\aleph_0}$ covering \bar{z} , $q^\wedge(k, z) \in \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathbb{Q}_\eta$ is determined.

Let $\{\tau_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ enumerate $2^{<\omega}$. Recursively construct a sequence $\{(z_n, q_n, t_n)\}_{n \in \omega}$ such that:

- $z_0 = \bar{z} \cup \rho$;
- $q_n \leq q^\wedge(k, z_n)$ and q_n is a determined condition lying in \mathfrak{M} ;
- $\tau_n \subseteq t_n \in 2^{<\omega}$ with $q_n \Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}_\eta} "t_n \notin \dot{T}"$;
- $z_{n+1} \supsetneq A_{q_n}$.

Let $\epsilon := \sup(\bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_{q_n}) + 1$. Define a function $h : \epsilon \rightarrow \omega$ by

$$h(\alpha) := \max\{k, p(\alpha', \beta') \mid (\alpha', \beta') \in [A_{q_{n+1}}]^2 \text{ and } \alpha \in A_{q_{n+1}} \setminus A_{q_n}\}.$$

Recalling the properties of p , fix $\gamma^* < \omega_1$ satisfying that for every $b \in [\omega_1 \setminus \gamma^*]^{<\aleph_0}$, there exists $\Delta \in [\epsilon]^{<\aleph_0}$ such that:

- $p \upharpoonright ((\epsilon \setminus \Delta) \times b)$ is injective;
- for all $\alpha \in \epsilon \setminus \Delta$ and $\beta \in b$, $h(\alpha) < p(\alpha, \beta)$.

- $\Gamma^* := \{\gamma \in \Gamma' \mid \min(A_{r_\gamma} \setminus \rho) > \gamma^*\}$ is uncountable.
- For each $n < \omega$, consider the open set $U_n := \{I \in 2^\omega \mid t_n \subseteq I\}$.
- Set $W := \bigcap_{j=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} U_{n+1}$.
- Then W is a dense G_δ set, so since $\{I_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma^*}$ is Luzin, $I_\gamma \in W$ for all but countably many $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$.
- Set $b := A_{r_\gamma} \setminus \rho$, and then let $\Delta \in [\epsilon]^{<\aleph_0}$ be the corresponding set, as above.
- Fix a large enough $j < \omega$ such that $A_{q_{n+1}} \setminus A_{q_n}$ is disjoint from Δ for all $n \geq j$.

- As $l_\gamma \in W$, we may now fix some $n \geq j$ such that $l_\gamma \in U_{n+1}$.
- Denote $\bar{r} := (q^{\mathfrak{M}})^\wedge(k, z_{n+1})$.
- Then $(A_{q_{n+1}} \setminus A_{\bar{r}}) \subseteq (A_{q_{n+1}} \setminus A_{q_n}) \subseteq (\epsilon \setminus \Delta)$ and $(A_{r_\gamma} \setminus A_{\bar{r}}) \subseteq b$, and all of the following hold:
 - 1 $\mathfrak{M} \models q_{n+1} \leq \bar{r}$ and q_{n+1} is determined;
 - 2 the mapping $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto p(\alpha, \beta)$ is injective over $(A_{q_{n+1}} \setminus A_{\bar{r}}) \times (A_{r_\gamma} \setminus A_{\bar{r}})$;
 - 3 $p(\alpha, \beta) > p(\alpha', \beta')$ for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in (A_{q_{n+1}} \setminus A_{\bar{r}}) \times (A_{r_\gamma} \setminus A_{\bar{r}})$ and $(\alpha', \beta') \in [A_{r_\gamma}]^2 \cup [A_{q_{n+1}}]^2$.
- Since $z_{n+1} \supseteq \bar{z}$ and \bar{z} was given by the lemma, apply **B** and infer that $q_{n+1} \not\leq r_\gamma$.
- However, $q_{n+1} \Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}_n} "t_{n+1} \notin \dot{T}"$ and $r_\gamma \Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}_n} "l_\gamma \text{ is a branch through } \dot{T}"$, contradicting the fact that $t_{n+1} \subseteq l_\gamma$.

QUESTION

Let $p : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ be a partition. Does the following statement imply that every colouring is p -special? For every colouring c there is a partition $\biguplus_{i < \omega} X_i = \omega_1$ such that for all i and j the set

$$\{c(\alpha, \beta) \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \in [X_i]^2 \cap p^{-1}\{j\}\}$$

is finite.

QUESTION

Let $p : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ be a partition. Does the following statement imply that every colouring is p -special? For every colouring c there is an uncountable $X \subseteq \omega_1$ such that for all j the set

$$|\{c(\alpha, \beta) \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \in [X]^2 \cap p^{-1}\{j\}\}| = 1.$$



QUESTION

Are there classifications, under some set theoretic assumptions, of the $p : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ such that every colouring is p -special? What happens under PFA?

QUESTION

Are there classifications, under some set theoretic assumptions, of the $p : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ such that $\aleph_1 \not\rightarrow_p [\aleph_1]_{\aleph_1}^2$?